

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,652	03/24/2004	Jon Hebreo	Div	4614
26387 75	590 10/20/2004		EXAM	INER
ROTH & GOLDMAN, P.A.		GRAHAM, MARK S		
523 W. 6TH STREET SUITE 707			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90014			3711	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ĺ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,652	HEBREO ET AL.	ΟN,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark S. Graham	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a control of the statutory minimum of thir nice will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commendation (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 September 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-24</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-24</u> is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nawn nom oonslaaration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 20</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 14-24 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner		
:10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	***		1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ion priority under 25 U.S.C. S	\$ 119(a)_(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	igh phonty under 35 0.5.C.	3 119(a)-(u) or (i).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	age
application from the International Bur	•		-9•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.	
	·	·	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date	50)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	/08) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-1) ——·	52)

Applicant's election with traverse of the Fig. 4 embodiment on 9/7/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claim 20 is generic to both embodiments. This is not found persuasive because applicant has not admitted that both embodiments are obvious variations of one another.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

In light of applicant's comments claim 20 has been examined along with claims 14-19.

Claims 21-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected embodiment, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement on 9/7/04.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by You.

You's device when inserted into the handle necessarily has to be distorted from its out of handle position to be held in place. You's device comprises a central portion and two end portions spaced therefrom which are free to flex as claimed.

Claims 15-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Albin, Kumamoto, Menzies, Brandt, and Sims have been cited for interest because they disclose similar devices.

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 703-308-1355.

MSG 10/12/04

Wark S. Grahem